

mead

DANIEL ELLSBERG

8 AUG 95



OFFICIAL®

60 sheets/120 pages

3 x 5 in / 7.6 x 12.7 cm

**memo book
carnet**

jīmim-tō
shakai-tō

mimsha-tō
kōmei-tō
shimshim-tō

The First Year
of the Elimination
of Nuclear Weapons
Mayor of ~~the~~
Nagasaki, Stok,
on Aug. 9, 1995
"50th Anniversary
of the Atom Bombs."
Next year, starting
Aug 10, will be
"The Second Year of
the Elim. ..."

(The character
word for "the Print"
is that

Hanner

6

(Lun-
~~Monday~~

night dawn

In 1990, Nov. 22 - 23

Akibito (Niga name
Weisei

Establishment
of Power

(1926-89
Nishito - Shoua -

Enlightened Harmony (Power)

Meiji 1868-1912

Enlightened Movement

On night of 22d, Akibito
went into a chamber alone,
food (for 23) pallet, Apondo
night alone.

(Official documents
must use Heisei 7
(this year, 1995)

(Newspapers as
1995 (Heisei 7)

(8th month, 9th day)

Heisei: 卅 卅

Art 1 - Sovereignty
resides (in the) ~~people~~
people Emperor
(Tennō) is the symbol
of Japan.

(Contradiction: if first part,
the people could know ^{or know} symbol

(cont.) comes out early
at dawn (Sun Goddess)
having cohabited with
the Sun-Goddess (earlier -
a Shinto-linked origin)

of Christian Univ.
4 Presidents spoke
out - open letter
to Asahi in April, 1990
saying
state money should
not be spent on the

(in Yokohama)
Pres. of Ferris Women's Academy
Izumi and his wife
were in his study - bullets

final into his study
just missing him;
at same time, 2 characters
put in his mailbox,
"Heavily Punished."

cont: on this ceremony -
Nov, because it
violated the Court.
separation of church
& state. (He got
shot at

S.B. Jarrow

3.

or prospectively - e.g.

of explicit ref. in

Amnesty, by Para 5

in UN, by Hague 1977...

(of Amnesty or Tokyo Treaty)

either for non-nuclear,

non-nuclear (indiscriminate)

or nuclear.

On the contrary...

(Did USA comply? (except on
nuclear?)

non-nuclear (I suspect -

check) protection in

Korea War was like

WWII: (see down, also ^{Circ KIA})

BUT: NVN: (SUN?)

AUG 8, 1995
Nagasaki /

From December 1938,
the ^{main} concern of scientists
and through the
governments (other than
the Axis) should have
been how to prevent
A-bombs from ever
coming into existence;
or, if development
seemed necessary,
to deter ^{H-atom} (or if use
was necessary to defeat

Hitler, or if Hitler used
it first) the how to
achieve int. control
and ban of further
prod., profit or use
despite its development
or use.

Thus, unless "necessary"
to defeat Hitler (unlikely
after early '42, Stalingrad),
the ~~Admiral~~ ^{Admiral} ~~had~~ ^{had} ~~the~~ ^{the} ~~thought~~ ^{thought}
of US secret NFO policy
and effort to ban
further dev., test,

prod., use, as soon
as it became clear
that Germany would
not have a Bomb
before its defeat.

This was clear by
(mid- or) late 1944.
(UK was convinced
earlier). (Had there
been any prior thought
or discussion about
containing the threat
to the world aside from

Nith?

Was there any concern
about Allied "abuse"?

(Before Feb '42 (or
somewhat later) it was
not obvious that the
Allies would use
any, or systematic, Terror
bombing against cities.
But by mid- or late '42,
this was pre-emptible;
certainly no later than
Hamburg or Cologne (mid-
43: MP under way).

There should have been
a position — no use
against Japan (as a
chief NNWS) — esp.
after Midway (early '42?)

From start of MP
on (mid-42) consider -
or even Dec. 1939
action should have
been paid to possibility
that testing & possession
would not be necessary
to deter or defeat
Germany. — This
should not have

be treated as of
"negligible" prob.
— give the stakes, the
great incentive —
obvious to the first
^{England &}
~~from~~ France et al in
the first discussion —
to avoid with testing
or attack if at all
"possible."

No later than Rothblatt's
awakening, he should
Examine (considered?)
revealing his info

to other Sympathetic
Scientists (Field 2.)

- although he died
from he would not be
allowed to go back to
England!) (It wasn't
obvious this would have
any effect).

WHO ELSE KNEW, BESIDES
GROVES and OPPIE? (who
was, discreditably, on
the wrong side, with
Morrow; as were, in
effect, Court, Bush

Compton? (did Fermi
have an opinion?
Lawrence?)

No later than Dec '44
the above are to be
blamed for this blindness,
and all who knew of
Hansen and was not
blinded should have
marched & organized
(like Sydenham's petition)
to prevent that ~~an~~ attack;
Countered Comm's beliefs;

and made every effort
to get advice to Stinson
and to President.

(Only Sigmond acted
responsibly).

1) Sigmond should (Tomlinson)
have told Clinton in
March. 2) Sigmond should
have sent partition directly
to Truman. (as he admitted
in late '50's) 3) Butcher
(if he had thought of it
he says he did ⁽²⁾).

3) Rabinowitz and
wrote with making
whole issue public
(as ~~Comm~~ & Office,
Finn & Radio, et al,
should have done for
H-book in early 1950
AT SACRIFICE OF THEIR
CAREERS).

and Rabin. should
have done so — as he
acknowledged in June 71
(^{after} ~~with~~ DP J. (and to support
me — not in principal, others)

(Who were the members
of, committed to, French
Report?)

(Rabbinowitz + Sigler
- in the appreciation of
states - should have
been ready to sacrifice
their careers, liberty,
(life!) to promote a
(test? or) war on Japan
or at least put issue
to world.

No later than 1-15

Day (May 8) there
should have been

~~as~~ 1) spontaneous
efforts to block use
against Japan

(~~by those who saw~~
possibility;

(not just to resign
- as Wilson says he
didn't.) ~~to~~

Discussions (frustrated?
even so - dissolution

From time it was
clear Bomb was not
unwished to defect
Kenny, there should
have been discussion
(although forwards -
of course, this was unlikely
(to divulge) or perhaps even to
think of it, before PP.)
instead of how to
exploit certifying
that it was not
unwished to defect Kenny;
no plans would have

(for inst. Center, and for ^{effectiveness}
been nearly as late
than May 8).

[a problem: Lytton
did have reason to
believe his inside
approach - by-passing
channels, Gross - best
best prospects, right
through Frank Report

(BUT, Frank Report
should have been done
much earlier, and assumed
access to Pres, not just

to Compton.



10 AM Sat

19th flr

Foreign Correspondents
Club

1-7-1, Yurakucho,
Chiyoda-ku,
Tokyo 100

03-3201-0677

Seth Luteal (29)
L

5901

AP 3545-~~8875~~

Post Rival 3571-7092

Penters 3432-9998

Charles Harding
212-621-1500

212-621-1665

NY call at 10PM
(9AM NY)

AP James Palmer
3545-5901

8 PM Tokyo Aug 11

Japanese press is
said to have reported
that China would
test a 2d weapon
in

Chris Eliot

Grace Eliot

29 July 1995

Nelson Arjain

brother was
major of Rongelap
in March 1, 1954.

Nelson was in
Kwajalein - they
went back in '47...-

later, Nelson was
major - decided
they should leave...

13 Aug 95 Tokyo
Kris Plaga

- Ethical Hyp:

A small category
of acts/consequences
should be ~~xxx~~.
Regarded as "absolute
evils," not to be caused
directly or indirectly (without
active resistance, exposure,
& self-sacrifice) under
any circumstances.

This should be kept
narrow (as for death
penalty, if not abolished)
(today's discussion of phase
factor, CNN)

esp. e.g. - mass slaughter
of civilians.

- serial murder

(though people who do this
tend to be homicides,
beyond reach of other
aggravated or detention.)

- NOT: - telling a secret
- breaking a promise
to a boss, org.
- disobeying
- letting down / having
power of leader, Pres, Emperor

[or: near-absolute?

best decision should
not have been made
by one man or small
group in secret -
like all war programs)

John Aug 13 B

DDP = H & N

Quickly
Pear Harbor

Apology (Japanese
POWs, etc US

Problematic: children
of war

Absolute Evil

Can't understand
or mass murder not

lack of Poi's maintenance
calculation: press conf,

"Don't be presumptuous - or
your thoughts will hang at
about..."

[Did Oshina know
between 5-6 what
Poi's plans were - if
I had called?]]


She couldn't say - "I'm saying
about waste of time" - "I don't
know yet if it was."


- Newspaper Aug 15

- swim

- call Oshtemo

- expect to arrive?





Shigetoshi Suwamatsu

0958-45-7336

7-79-Eri-machi

Nagasaki

Toad Akiba

3803 CONNECTICUT
AVE.

APT. 14C

WASH. DC 20008

But Milner's book
not info.

Hyp: If UK commander
could plan (before
war / but early in war: 1980)
to use Terror tactics,
and UK civs could
pursue this late time when
they couldn't do much else;
and US could move from
"precision" daytime bombing
to area bombing with
no (?) restrictions —

20

the "first War" principle
were simply valueless
(wrt any attacks) after
WWI (see Theory,
Shog, John) — not only
for fascists but for —
also unsub feel constraint
of the, if they saw a benefit,
and had "no alternative"
(irresolute, uncompromising,
no-talking) — even!

It's not as if this
were repudiated after
WWII — with retrospection